

ATTORNEYS AT I AWA COLOR OF A PROGRAMMENT AND A

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1 CONTAINS A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

NATIONAL BANK PLAZA TUCSON, ARIZONA 85711 114 14 (520) 721-1900 333 NORTH WILMOT, SUITE 300

FAX (520) 747-1550 MungerChadwick.com

PHOENIX APPOINTMENT ADDRESS:

5225 N. CENTRAL

SUITE 235

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012-1452

(602) 230-1850

OF COUNSEL ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN:
ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN:
ARIZONA, COLORADO, MONTANA,
NEVADA, TEXAS, WYON-LAWRENCE V. ROBERTSON, JR.

MILLER, LA SOTA AND PETERS, P.L.C. PHOENIX, ARIZONA

OF COUNSEL

OGARRIO Y DIAZ ABOGADOS MEXICO, D.F., MEXICO (LICENSED SOLELY IN MEXICO)

MICHAEL M. RACY GOVERNMENT RELATIONS DIRECTOR (NON-LAWYER) DIRECT LINE: (520) 906-4646

June 11, 1999

JOHN F. MUNGER

MARK E. CHADWICK

MICHAEL S. GREEN KATHLEEN DELANEY WINGER

KEVIN R HARPER

Ms. Nancy Kole **Docket Control Division** Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JUN 1 4 1999

DOCKETED BY

Docket Nos. E-01645A-98-0473, E-01345A-97-0773, and RE: RE-00000C-94-0165

Dear Ms. Kole:

Enclosed for filing are the original and eleven (11) copies of PG&E Energy Services Corporation's Comments in Support of Enron Corp's Motion to Amend Procedural Order To Establish Revised Procedural Dates in the above matters. Please return a conformed copy to my office in the enclosed self-addressed envelope.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the above or the enclosed materials.

Sincerely,

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.

Last alo A. Communich

LVR:djb

Enclosures

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2	CARLIKUNASEK
3	CARL J. KUNASEK
4	CARL J. KUNASEK Commissioner-Chairman Arizona Corporation Commission Jun 14 20 PH 199 JIM IRVIN
5	Commissioner RENZ JENNINGS JUN 1 4 1999 pocument of the commissioner and the commissioner a
6	Commissioner DOCKETED BY MW
7	1º paro
8	IN THE MATER OF THE APPLICATION) OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE)
9	COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF ITS) DOCKET NO. E-01345A-98-0473
10	PLAN FOR STRANDED COST RECOVERY)
11	
12	IN THE MATTER OF FILING OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY) DOCKET NO. E-01345A-97-0773
13	OF UNBUNDLED TARIFFS PURSUANT) OF UNBUNDLED TARIFFS PURSUANT)
14	TO A.A.C. R14-2-1601 <u>ET SEQ</u> .
15	<u> </u>
16	IN THE MATTER OF COMPETITION)
17	IN THE PROVISION OF ELECTRIC) DOCKET NO. RE-00000C-94-0165
18	SERVICES THROUGHOUT THE STATE) OF ARIZONA)
19	
20	PG&E ENERGY SERVICES CORPORATION'S COMMENTS IN SUPPORT
21	OF ENRON CORP'S MOTION TO AMEND PROCEDURAL ORDER

OF ENRON CORP'S MOTION TO AMEND PROCEDURAL ORDER TO ESTABLISH REVISED PROCEDURAL DATES

PG&E Energy Services Corporation ("PG&E ES") hereby submits these comments in support of Enron Corp's Motion to Amend Procedural Order To Establish Revised Procedural Dates ("Motion"). In the interest of brevity, PG&E ES hereby incorporates the discussion and request set forth in Enron's Motion as its own.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NATIONAL BANK PLAZA 333 NORTH WILMOT, SUITE 300 TUCSON, ARIZONA 85711 (520) 721-1900 In addition, PG&E ES would note that, with the impending appointment of a new member to the Commission as a consequence of the Arizona Supreme Court's decision earlier this week, in all likelihood there will be a "learning curve" that new member will need to master before he or she is "up to speed" to rule upon questions that are central to the onset of effective retail electric competition. The resolution of stranded cost and unbundled tariff issues pertaining to the state's largest Affected Utility are clearly questions of that nature, as are certain of the other issues presented by the May 14, 1999 Settlement Agreement. Hence, a grant of the modest extension of procedural dates requested by Enron would be both consistent with and conducive to informed decision making by the Commission on these crucial matters.

During the past three (3) years, PG&E ES has frequently urged that the Commission press ahead and keep the transition to retail electric competition "on track." PG&E ES is not departing from that general objective at this time. However, under the circumstances discussed in Enron's Motion and these Comments, PG&E ES believes that the slight "throttling back" herein requested is appropriate and constructive. Like Enron, PG&E ES believes the procedural schedule established in the May 25, 1999 Procedural Order is unnecessarily truncated, and imposes unwarranted burdens on parties who may wish to oppose or propose modifications to the May 14 Settlement Agreement.

DATED this 11th day of June, 1999.

Respectfully submitted, PG&E ENERGY SERVICES CORPORATION,

By Lawrence V. Robert

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C.
National Bank Plaza
333 North Wilmot, Suite 300
Tucson, Arizona 85711
Its Attorney

28

1	Original mailed this 11th day of June, 1999, to:
2	11 day of suite, 1999, to.
3	Steven M. Wheeler Thomas L. Mumaw
4	Jeffrey B. Guldner
5	SNELL & WILMER, LLP One Arizona Center
6	400 East Van Buren
	Phoenix, AZ 85004
7	Attorneys for APS
8	A copy of the foregoing mailed
9	this 11th day of June, 1999, to:
10	Hon. Jerry Rudibaugh
11	Chief Hearing Officer Arizona Corporation Commission
12	1200 West Washington
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
	Paul Bullis, Chief Counsel
14	Legal Division
15	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington
16	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
17	Ms. Leslie Lawner
18	Director of Government Affairs
19	Enron Corp 712 North Lea
20	Roswell, New Mexico 88201
21	Attorney for Enron Corp.
22	Marc H Dulpour
23	
24	
25	
26	-
27	

 $C: \verb|WORK\LARRY\Enron\PG\&E Comments.wpd|\\$